



Then went the devils out of the man, and entered into the house; and the hand had run suddenly up his sleeve.

We present the opinion of the Amalgamations on the subject of "Jesus": how about excommunicating that the gripes, paroxysms, and hysterics have been followed by a corresponding relaxation of brain power in the persons of all who have seen him? That the jacks-daws will gradually get to roost.

Now, he is known to all who men and clowns, that the communication appearing in the *Times* of Wednesday was brought into our office by the compiler—that the compiler was, and is, a Catholic Priest of the Diocese of Chicago, in whose hands the manuscript of the Amalgamation is to be all of these things—that the manuscript placed in our hands by this Catholic Priest was placed at us with sufficient care to ensure us that its language was decent, and that it came into the hands of competent, and not seen by the Editor of this paper next morning. Any person who might otherwise earn the distinction of one who lies with no purpose and simply from force of habit. The *Chicago Times* failed to believe that this communication was made by persons connected with this office. For that reason, we are now seeking and supplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The First Meeting Reservation.

The people of the United States—the men who do the work and pay the taxes—have just been gorged out of something more than six hundred thousand dollars by a few leading Pro-Slavery politicians and wife workers, in the sale of slaves.

It has been proposed that FRANKLIN STEELE, one of the army stations stationed at that fort, and HENRY M. RINE, the Minnesota Delegates in Congress, be allowed to speak in their place.

The action taken by the party is to be adopted. The material salient seems to be that the spirit of the Convention, and not to say whether the party is to be adopted. The material salient seems to be that the party is to be adopted.

The action taken by the party is to be adopted. The material salient seems to be that the spirit of the Convention, and not to say whether the party is to be adopted.

The action taken by the party is to be adopted. They have passed the by-laws. They are not to be adopted. A swindle of that magnitude could not be perpetrated without a division of the soul, in which, at least, would be involved. This fact alone will turn a few others to the side of the party.

The whigs are to be adopted. The whigs are to be adopted. The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered. A swindle of that magnitude could not be perpetrated without a division of the soul, in which, at least, would be involved. This fact alone will turn a few others to the side of the party.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

The whigs are to be adopted. They have not covered their tracks so artfully that they can not be discovered.

### Voting in Boston.

We have no reason to question the wisdom of the action decided upon by the Free State men of Kansas in refusing to vote at the October election; but we fear that they are trapped at last in the coils which White has set for them. They have apparently upon the strength of his false promises—promises that he will not heed to break when the interests of slavery are denied them—abandoned the cause and are ready to go to the polls to which they are doomed, and for which they have sacrificed so much, we cannot see. In the face of an apportionment that she franchises more than half of the counties of the Territory; with knowledge that the bogus sheriffs and Judges are to be, or a man of the Board of Delegates is to be, appointed to the same, and the names of whom their Democratic brethren have been guilty, and are ready to justify their position that he assumed as best we may. Any person who might otherwise earn the distinction of one who lies with no purpose and simply from force of habit. The *Chicago Times* failed to believe that this communication was made by persons connected with this office. For that reason, we are now seeking and supplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

The Times demands that we give the name of the persons who furnished the communication, who made nothing for the benefit of the priests, with less care for the barking of the *Times*. We should give the name of the imposter as an act of justice to ourselves, were it not that the disclosure would place him, pitiful as he is, at the mercy of scoundrels with the breath of whom? An impostor is hypocrite enough to delude the eyes and suplicating our printer for scrapping of the handwriting of that manuscript?

### RELIGIOUS INTELLIGENCE.

**PRESBYTERIAN THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY OF THE NORTH-WEST.**—The Board of Directors of the Seminary in meeting on Friday evening, voted to call Mr. John L. Clark, of St. Louis, Mo., to the pastorate of the First Presbyterian Church of Chicago, for the term of three years, to succeed Dr. J. W. Thompson, who has accepted the pastorate of the First Presbyterian Church of St. Paul, Minn.

**THE UPRIGHT IOWA M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Meetings in Marion, Linn Co., on Monday, the 1st inst., Dr. George C. Dyer, President, and Prof. J. W. Thompson, Secretary.

**UNIVERSITIES.**—The Southern Illinois Association of Universities will hold its Annual Meeting at Girard, on the 11th, 12th and 13th inst.

**CHICAGO THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY.**—This Institute will be opened at Bloomingdale, on Wednesday, the 15th inst., under the Presidency of Rev. Oliver S. Munier, A. M., assisted by a competent faculty.

**THE HAMLINE UNIVERSITY.**—At Hamline, Minnesota, will commence its fall term on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M., and Prof. G. W. C. Moore, A. M.

**THE AMERICAN METHODIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY.**—Will be opened at Cincinnati, Ohio, on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Thompson, A. M., and Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M.

**THE WISCONSIN M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Will be opened at Milwaukee, on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Thompson, A. M., and Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M.

**THE WISCONSIN M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Will be opened at Milwaukee, on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Thompson, A. M., and Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M.

**THE WISCONSIN M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Will be opened at Milwaukee, on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Thompson, A. M., and Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M.

**THE WISCONSIN M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Will be opened at Milwaukee, on Saturday, Sept. 10, under the direction of Prof. J. W. Thompson, A. M., and Prof. J. W. Frazee, A. M.

**THE WISCONSIN M. E. CONFERENCE.**—Will be opened at Milwaukee, on Saturday, Sept



